

WHOLE NUMBER ARITHMETIC (+, -, x, ÷) IN THE ICONIC CALCULATOR

William Bricken

June 2012

Boundary integers are collections of indistinguishable units within a common container. These tally collections can be reduced by grouping to a depth-notation form that supports any base system. Base-2 and base-10 are illustrated.

BOUNDARY RULES

• ... • = (•)	GROUP/unGROUP	defines base
(A)(B) = (A B)	MERGE/unMERGE	manages base
○ ● =	CANCEL	negative/positive numbers
() =	EMPTY	deletes empty containers

[A] highlight for prepare to unGROUP

(A){B} highlight for prepare to unMERGE

TRANSCRIBE FROM STANDARD ARITHMETIC

$A \pm B \implies A B$	PUT TOGETHER (Additive Principle)
$A \times B \implies [B \bullet A]$	SUBSTITUTE B for • in A (Mult Principle)
$A \div B \implies [\bullet B A]$	SUBSTITUTE • for B in A

* highlight for substitutions in multiply/divide
 [X Y Z] shorthand notation for Substitute X for Y in Z.

SPECIFICS FOR TALLYS AND GROUPS

•• = (•)	GROUP base 2
•••••••• = (•)	GROUP base 10
C = A B	SPLIT/unSPLIT (sum less than base)
-C = -A -B	SPLIT/unSPLIT (sum less than base)
0 \implies	
1 \implies •	
2 \implies •• = (•)	
3 \implies ••• = (•) •	
4 \implies •••• = (•)(•) = (••) = ((•))	
-1 \implies ◇	
-2 \implies ◇◇ = (◇)	
-3 \implies ◇◇◇ = (◇) ◇	
-4 \implies ◇◇◇◇ = (◇)(◇) = (◇◇) = ((◇))	

Capital Letter Notation: The capital letters in MERGE stand in place of any unit or bounded form, so long as one exists. Within the boundary rules, variables cannot be void. A capital letter used during transcription (not transformation) can stand in place of any unit or bounded form, or it can be void since void is substituted for conventional zero during transcription.

Model

The model is that arithmetic operations are easy/trivial. Maintaining a base system takes effort. The base system is a grouping mechanism that collects groups of a specified size and puts them into a container. The triviality of arithmetic is expressed by three principles:

ADDITIVE PRINCIPLE: A sum looks like its parts.

SUBTRACTIVE PRINCIPLE: Subtraction cancels polar units.

MULTIPLICATIVE PRINCIPLE: Multiplication is substitution of groups for units.

ARITHMETIC TRANSCRIPTION

Base1 is tally arithmetic with no grouping. In base1, the engine uses three rules:

$A + B \implies A B$ Put into Same Container

Addition/Subtraction is transcribed into PUT INTO SAME CONTAINER. PUT INTO SAME CONTAINER is more of a parsing step than a rule. "A" and "B" stand in place of any collection of dots/marks or nothing at all. PUT works for any kind of form, including positive and negative units and boundary integers. The container is often implicit as the display space framed by the typographical page or by the indentation of a figure.

$\circ \bullet \implies$ Cancel Opposites

CANCEL OPPOSITES achieves subtraction. It's in boundary notation cause "N + -N" is not quite right.

$A \times B \implies$ Substitute B for each unit in A

Using the shorthand notation for substitution,

$a \times b \implies [b \bullet a]$ Substitute

$a \div b \implies [\bullet b a]$ Substitute

SUBSTITUTE achieves multiplication and division.

These transcription rules are more like physical interpretations than arbitrary manipulations. They convert the abstract operations of arithmetic into physically realizable actions.

BASE SYSTEM RULES

In any base other than 1 (eg BASE 2 and BASE 10dots) two additional “clean up” rules implement and manage depth-value notation.

• ... • ==> (•) Group

GROUP achieves construction of a base. It can occur anywhere there is a sufficiently large group. The ellipsis stands in place of the number of units required to form a base group. So

• • ==> (•) Group Base2

• • • • • • • • • • ==> (•) Group Base10

Boundary forms accommodate any base, and also support mixed bases within the same form (this occurs in division).

(A)(B) ==> (A B) Merge Boundaries

MERGE BOUNDARIES implements depth-value bookkeeping by maintaining the right order of magnitude (defined by the base) for each unit. MERGE is also called COMMON BOUNDARIES CANCEL. This rule triggers whenever two bounded objects share the same container, at any depth of nesting. “A” and “B” stand for any content but not no content. In boundary arithmetic, there are no “empty” containers.

Almost all of the computational work is in maintaining a base system. For hand manipulation of base10 dots, students need to know how to make groups of ten. This requires five pattern rules. The shape of dot configurations is an open design question.

• •••••••• ==> (•)
•• •••••••• ==> (•)
••• •••••••• ==> (•)
•••• •••••••• ==> (•)
••••• •••••••• ==> (•)

Addition/Subtraction of Digits

When common digits are introduced, they come with a price. Digits permit groups of units to be symbolized abstractly, and any symbolic abstraction comes with a load on memory. In particular, digits require memorization of the digit addition and multiplication tables. In conventional arithmetic, these are 10x10 tables, with many symmetries to reduce the number of entries at the cost of memorizing other abstractions.

The representation used by the Iconic Calculator makes many of these symmetries invisible.

- since forms are in space, there is no commutativity (100 -> 55)
- since there is no zero, there are no add-zero rules (55 -> 45)
- with the GROUP operation, no additions are more than 10 (45 -> 25)

For example, $89 = 827 = (1)7$

To use digits, students need to know 25 addition facts.

1+1	1+2	1+3	1+4	1+5	1+6	1+7	1+8	1+9
	2+2	2+3	2+4	2+5	2+6	2+7	2+8	
		3+3	3+4	3+5	3+6	3+7		
			4+4	4+5	4+6			
				5+5				

These 25 facts subdivide into

- 5 add-to-ten facts (along the right side)
- 9 add-one facts (along the top)
- 11 digit split/unsplit facts

The other memory intensive skill is management of place value notation. This is fully taken care of by MERGE BOUNDARIES and GROUP into tens.

For example, $57+89 = 146$

(5) 7 (8) 9	transcribe	
(5)(8) 7 9	linear	
(5 8) 7 9	merge	
(5 5 3) 7 3 6	split	optionally (3 2 8) 6 1 9
((1) 3) (1) 6	group	
((1) 3 1) 6	merge	
((1) 4) 6	unsplit	

G = group base-2 M = merge S = substitute L = linear artifact

5*7: substitute 7 for • in 5

((*)) *	[[((•)•)• • ((•)•)•]
((((•)•)• }} {{(•)•)•	S
((((•)•)• •)•)•	Mx2
((((•)•} {(•) }•)•)•	G
((((•)• •) •)•)•	M
((((•) } {(•) } •) •)•	G
((((• •) •) •)•)•	M
(((((•))) •)•)•	G

7*5: substitute 5 for • in 7

((*) *) *	[[((•)•)• • ((•)•)•]
((((•)•)• } {(•) }• } {(•) }•	S
((((•)•)• (•) }• (•) }•	Mx2
((((•)•} {(•)•} { •)•)•	L
((((•) •)• •)•)•	Mx2 identical to line 3 of 5*7
((((•) •} {(•) }•) •)•	G
((((•) • •) •) •)•	M
((((•) } {(•) } •) •)•	G
((((• •) •) •) •)•	M
(((((•))) •) •)•	G

A student needs to memorize 36 digit multiplication rules (given 0 and 1 are trivial and commutative symmetry)

2x2	2x3	2x4	2x5	2x6	2x7	2x8	2x9
	3x3	3x4	3x5	3x6	3x7	3x8	3x9
		4x4	4x5	4x6	4x7	4x8	4x9
			5x5	5x6	5x7	5x8	5x9
				6x6	6x7	6x8	6x9
					7x7	7x8	7x9
						8x8	8x9
							9x9

Multi-digit Multiplication

Depth-value handles numbers greater than 10. Eg 43x26

[[((•)•)•••••• • (••••)•••]] ==>

((••)•••••• (••)•••••• (••)•••••• (••)••••••) (••)•••••• (••)•••••• (••)••••••


```

((4) 3) 8 x ((6) 9) 2 ==> [((6)9)2 1 ((4)3)8]
(( ( ( *x4) *x4) *x4 ) (( *x3) *x3) *x3 ) (( *x8) *x8) *x8 subst
(( ( ( 6x4) 9x4) 2x4 ) (( 6x3) 9x3) 2x3 ) (( 6x8) 9x8) 2x8 subst
(( ((2)4} {3}6) 8 } {(1)8} (2)7) 6 } {(4)8} {7}2) (1)6 mult
(( (((2)4 3)6) 8 ((1)8 2)7) 6 ((4)8 7)2 1)6 Mx4

```

The above step is partial cause typing in a line does not clearly expose all the MERGING boundaries. More completely, there are eight MERGES that would occur in one step. Two linear tidy steps expose the remaining four concurrent merges:

```

(( (((2) 4 3) 6} {(1) 8 2) 8 7} {(4) 8 7 6 2 1) 6 linear tidy
(( (((2) 4 3) 6 (1) 8 2) 8 7 (4) 8 7 ) 6 2 1) 6 Mx2
(( (((2) 4 3} {1} 6 8 2} {4} 8 7 8 7 ) 6 2 1) 6 linear tidy
(( (((2) 4 3 1) 6 8 2 4) 8 7 8 7 ) 6 2 1) 6 Mx2
(( (((2) 4 3 1) 6 4 8 2 ) 5 5 3 7 3 7) 6 2 1) 6 split **
(( (((2) 4 3 1} {1} {1} } {1} {1} {1})) 6 2 1) 6 Gx5
(( (((2) 4 3 1 1 1) 1 1 1)) 6 2 1) 6 Mx5
(( (((2} {1} ) 3 )) 9 ) 6 G, unsplit
(( (((2 1) ) 3 )) 9 ) 6 M
(( ((( 3 ) ) 3 )) 9 ) 6 unsplit

((((3)) 3)) 9) 6 or 303096

```

Split and unsplit can occur concurrently, but the unsplit might have to change later due to new merges. So I postponed the unsplit above until all groupings were done. So NOT

```

(( (((2) 4 3 1) 6 4 8 2) 5 5 3 7 3 7) 6 2 1 ) 6 split **
(( (((2) 8 ) 6 4 8 2) 5 5 3 7 3 7) 9 ) 6 split, unsplit

```

This is a design decision that occurs frequently. Either display the minimal number of steps by postponing UNSPLIT, or display the minimal form and (occasionally) have to add additional undo steps.

Division

Division occurs by reverse Substitution.

Examples:

35 ÷ 7: substitute * for 7 in 35

```

(((( (•) ) ))•• [* ((•)••) (((((•))))••)]
(((( • • ) ))•• uG
((( •)(• ) ))•• uM
(((•) • • ))•• uG

```

```

(((•) •)(• ))••      uM
(((•) •) • • )••    uG
(( *      • )••     subst
(( * ))(• )••      uMx2
(( * )) *          subst

```

Similarly $35 \div 5$: substitute * for 5 in 35

```

((( ( ( • )      ))••) [* ((•))• (((•••••))••••)]
((( ( •      • ))••) uG
((( ( ••)(• • ))••) uMx2
((( ( ••)(• • • ))••) uG
((( ( ••)(• • ) ( • ))••) uM
((( ( ••) • • ( • ))••) uG
(( * • ( • ))••) subst
(( *) (•) (( • ))••) uMx2 **
(( *) (( • )) • (•))• linear
(( *) * (•))• subst
(( *) * )(•))• uM
(( *) * ) * subst

```

** Note that UNMERGE could be applied four times here, resulting in an opportunistic match that shortens the number of steps.

```

(( * • ( • ))••) subst
(( *)((•))((( • ))••) uMx4 **
(( *)((( • ))••)((•))• linear
(( *)}{ * ) * substx2
(( *) * ) * M

```

The general principle is that opportunistic substitution may reduce the number of steps, but the final MERGE that is required has degraded the regularity of the recursive algorithm.

Digit Division

Division remains the same, except that pattern-identification requires knowledge of digit multiplication rules. Patterns should always be identified from the deepest space first. Eg $76 \div 4 = 19$

```

( 7 ) 6
(4 3 ) 4 2      splitx2
(* 3 ) * 2      subst **
(*){ 3 } * 2    unmerge
(*)[ 3 ] * 2
(*) 4 4 4 4 4 4 2 * 2 ungroup

```

```

(*) * * * * * * * 2 * 2      subst
(*) * * * * * * *      2      2      linear
(*) * * * * * * *      4      unsplit
(*) * * * * * * *      *      subst
(1) 9

```

The identification of the “4” in the shallowest depth is optional. Pattern identification can be opportunistic and in parallel, and I *think* none of the steps will ever need to be reversed. The design goal may be maximal parallelism, or perhaps better “followability”. The choice between parallel transformations and sequential “pedagogical” transformations occurs often.

Long Division

Here’s a more complicated long division, with a remainder.

```

iG  identify bounded digit to ungroup
uG# ungroup in terms of #
uM  unmerge to separate split digits ready to ungroup
S   split digits to access parts ready to unmerge
sub substitute
L   linear artifact

```

```

407139 ÷ 756 = 538 r411      [* ((7)5)6 (((((4))7)1)3)9]
(( ([ 4 ] ) ) 7 ) 1) 3) 9      iG
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7 5 ) 7 ) 1) 3) 9      uG7
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7 3 2 ) 5 2 ) 1) 3) 9      S
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) {3} { 2 ) 5 2 ) 1) 3) 9      uMx2
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) (3) [ 2 ] 5 2 ) 1) 3) 9      iG

(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) (3) 5 5 5 5 5 2 ) 1) 3) 9      uG5
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 ( 3 ) 2 ) 1) 3) 9      L
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5} {( 3 )} { 2 ) 1) 3) 9      uMx2
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) (( 3 )) [ 2 ] 1) 3) 9      iG

(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) (( 3 )) 6 6 6 2 1) 3) 9      uG6
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2 1 )) 6 6 6 3 ) 3) 9      S
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2} { 1 )) 6 6 6 3 ) 3) 9      uM
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2) [ 1 ]) 6 6 6 3 ) 3) 9      iG

(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2) 9 1 ) 6 6 6 3 ) 3) 9      uG1
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2) 9 } { 1 ) 6 6 6 3 ) 3) 9      uM
(( ( 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2) 9 ) [ 1 ] 6 6 6 3 ) 3) 9      iG

```

((((7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2) 9) 6 4 6 6 6 3) 3) 9 uG6
 ((((7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) ((2) 9) 6 6 6 6 6 1) 3) 9 S
 ((((7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5) 6 6 6 6 6 ((2) 9) 1) 3) 9 L
 ((***** ((2) 9) 1) 3) 9 sub
 ((5) {((2) 9) 1) 3) 9 uM
 ((5) (([2] 9) 1) 3) 9 iG

 ((5) ((7 7 6 9) 1) 3) 9 uG7
 ((5) ((7 7 7 7 1) 1) 3) 9 S
 ((5) ((7 7 7 7 } { 1) 1) 3) 9 uM
 ((5) ((7 7 7 7) [1] 1) 3) 9 iG

 ((5) ((7 7 7 7) 5 5 1) 3) 9 uG5
 ((5) ((7 7 7 6 1) 5 5 1) 3) 9 S
 ((5) ((7 7 7 } {6} { 1) 5 5 1) 3) 9 uMx2
 ((5) ((7 7 7) (6) [1] 5 5 1) 3) 9 iG

 ((5) ((7 7 7) (6) 5 5 5 5 1) 3) 9 uG5
 ((5) ((7 7 7) (6) 5 5 5 6) 3) 9 S
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5 (6) 6) 3) 9 L
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5 } {(6) 6) 3) 9 uM
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5) ((6) 4 2) 3) 9 S
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5) ((6) 4 } { 2) 3) 9 uM
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5) ((6) 4) [2] 3) 9 iG

 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5) ((6) 4) 6 6 6 2 3) 9 uG6
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5) ((6) 4) 6 6 6 5) 9 S
 ((5) ((7 7 7) 5 5 5) 6 6 6 ((6) 4) 5) 9 L

 ((5) *** ((6) 4) 5) 9 sub
 ((5) 3} {((6) 4) 5) 9 uM
 ((5) 3) (([6] 4) 5) 9 iG

 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 4 4) 5) 9 uG7
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 1) 5) 9 S
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 } { 1) 5) 9 uM
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) [1] 5) 9 iG

 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5) 9 uG5
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 4 3) 5 5 5) 9 S
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 } {4} { 3) 5 5 5) 9 uMx2
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) (4) [3] 5 5 5) 9 iG

 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) (4) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5) 9 uG5
 ((5) 3) ((7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 (4) 5) 9 L

```

((5) 3) (( 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5) {(4)} {      5      ) 9  uMx2
((5) 3) (( 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5) ((4)) [      5      ] 9  iG

((5) 3) (( 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5) ((4)) 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 2 9  uG6
((5) 3) (( 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5) ((4)) 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 2 8 1  S
((5) 3) (( 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5) 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 ((4)) 2 8 1  L
((5) 3) ***** ((4)) 2 8 1                                     sub
((5) 3) 8           ((4)) (1) 1                                   G
((5) 3) 8           ((4))  1) 1                                   M

((5) 3) 8   r ((4) 1) 1                                         done

```

538 r 411

Here's an incomplete (rough!) recursive scheme for long division

GENERIC DIVISION

GET-T1

Go to deepest space to count number of front target digit T1

If there is one or more

 then setD=number-of-times-T1-is-available

 else FRONT-IDENTIFY

GET-Tn

FRONT-IDENTIFY

Identify a digit to decompose via Ungroup

UnGroup and decompose wrt T1

setD=number-of-times-T1-is-available

GET-Tn

Go to next shallower space

Get D copies of Tn

If shallowest space

 then FINISH-UP

 elseif D copies are available

 then rearrange digits groups

 else IDENTIFY

GET-Tn-next-recur

IDENTIFY

Identify a digit to decompose via Ungroup

UnGroup and decompose wrt Tn

Rearrange digit groupings to get D copies of Tn